

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/741,265	10/30/1996	HARDISH SINGH	11611.4US01	1018
75	590 02/09/2004		EXAM	INER
CRAWFORD PLLC 1270 NOTHLAND DR.			YAO, KWANG BIN	
SUITE 390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENDOTA HIEGHT'S, MN 55120			2667	3/
		DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/741,265	SINGH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kwang B. Yao	2667			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 C	October 2003.				
•						
3)	, -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 and 16-25 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 16-25</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
Attachment			· (DTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 08/741,265

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/28/03 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 and 16-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

Art Unit: 2667

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 4, 16-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kubler et al. (US 5,726,984).

Kubler et al. discloses a packet based communication system comprising the following features: as depicted in Figs. 55a, 56a, 63, regarding claim 1, an arrangement for providing telephonic communication which may be selectively transmitted via the Internet using standard Internet protocols, comprising: a telephone (5530); and an interface unit (5601) coupled to the telephone (5530) and configured and arranged to receive (5607) audio information designating a telephonic communication addressee, the interface unit including a first output port (5605) configured to be coupled to a standard switched telephone communications network, a second output port (5604) configured to be coupled to an Internet communications network, and a processing unit (5609) configured and arranged to analyze a portion of the audio information that

Application/Control Number: 08/741,265

Art Unit: 2667

designates the telephonic communication addressee and, in response to the analysis, to determine whether the audio information received from the telephone is to be coupled to the first output port to establish a standard telephonic communication using the standard switched telephone communications network, or if the audio information is to be processed in accordance with the standard Internet transfer protocols and coupled to the second output port to establish an Internet communication using the Internet communications network to communicate the processed audio information in accordance with the standard Internet transfer protocols; regarding claim 4, wherein the standard Internet transfer protocols include a standard packetization protocol (5625, 5621) to packetize a stream of audio information; regarding claim 16, a telephone (5530); and interface means (5601) coupled to the telephone and configured and arranged to receive audio information designating a telephonic communication addressee, the interface means comprising: first output means (5605) configured to be coupled to a standard switched telephone communications network, second output means (5604) configured to be coupled to an Internet communications network, and processing means (5609) configured and arranged to analyze a portion of the audio information that designates the telephonic communication addressee and, in response to the analysis, to determine whether the audio information received from the telephone is to be coupled to the first output means to establish a standard telephonic communication using the standard switched telephone communications network, or to be processed in accordance with the standard Internet transfer protocols and coupled to the second output means to establish an Internet communication using the Internet communications network to communicate the processed audio information in accordance with the standard Internet transfer protocols; regarding claim 17, providing an interface unit (5601) having a memory (5623) and adapted to

Page 4

Page 5

Art Unit: 2667

receive telephonic communication (5607) in response to user intervention and to communicate the telephonic communication via at least one of a first output (5605) coupled to a standard switched telephone network and a second output (5604) coupled to an Internet communications network; providing a telephone device (5530) communicatively coupled to the interface unit (5601); generating audio information, that designates a communication addressee, at the telephone and sending the information to the interface unit (5601); analyzing (5609) a portion of the audio information that designates the telephonic communication addressee and therein automatically determining, at the interface unit (5601), whether the audio information received from the telephone is to be coupled to the first or second output; and responsive to the determination, coupling the telephone via the interface unit (5601) to at least one of the standard switched telephone network and the Internet communications network; regarding claim 18, wherein automatically determining whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first or second output is responsive to comparing a DTMF code received as part of the audio information to a variable stored in memory (cross-reference data base, described at line 6-17 of column 88) at the interface (5601) and is without further user intervention; regarding claim 19, wherein automatically determining whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first or second output is responsive to detecting a DTMF code received as part of the audio information that represents the number for a local Internet access provider and is without further user intervention; regarding claim 20, wherein automatically determining (5609) whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first or second output is responsive to comparing a DTMF code received as part of the audio information to a telephone number stored in memory (crossreference data base, described at line 6-17 of column 88) at the interface and is without further

Art Unit: 2667

user intervention; regarding claim 21, wherein the interface unit (5601) further comprises a memory, and wherein the processing unit is adapted to automatically determine whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first or second output by comparing a DTMF code received as part of the audio information to a variable stored in memory (cross-reference data base, described at line 6-17 of column 88) at the interface, without further audio information; regarding claim 22, wherein the processing unit (5609) is adapted to automatically determine whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first or second output by detecting if a DTMF code received as part of the audio information represents the number for a local Internet access provider, without further audio information; regarding claim 23, wherein the interface unit further comprises a memory (cross-reference data base, described at line 6-17 of column 88), and wherein the processing unit (5609) is adapted to automatically determine whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first or second output by comparing a DTMF code received as part of the audio information to a telephone number stored in memory at the interface, without further audio information; regarding claim 24, an interface unit (5601) for providing telephonic communication, the interface unit (5601) including: a first output port (5605) configured to be coupled to a standard switched telephone communications network, a second output port (5604) configured to be coupled to an Internet communications network, and a processing unit (5609) configured and arranged to receive audio information including information that designates a telephonic communication address, to analyze the telephonic communication address and, in response to the analysis, to determine whether the audio information is to be coupled to the first output port to establish a standard telephonic communication using the standard switched telephone communications network, or if the audio information is to be processed in accordance

Application/Control Number: 08/741,265 Page 7

Art Unit: 2667

with the standard Internet transfer protocols and coupled to the second output port to establish an Internet communication using the Internet communications network to communicate the processed audio information in accordance with the standard Internet transfer protocols; regarding claim 25, a processing unit (5609) configured and arranged to receive audio information including information that designates a telephonic communication address, to analyze the telephonic communication address and, in response to the analysis, to determine whether the audio information is to be transmitted via a standard switched telephone communications network or if the audio information is to be transmitted via an Internet communications network. See column 87-88, 99-102.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2667

7. Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubler et al. (US 5,726,984) in view of Kuthyar et al. (US 5,909,431), Shinohara et al. (US 5,351,237).

Kubler et al. discloses the claimed limitations set forth. Kubler et al. does not disclose the features of: RAS standard gatekeeper protocol, Q.931 standard Internet call protocol, H.245 standard end-to-end protocol; RTP standard protocol.

Kuthyar et al. discloses a real time multimedia service in a hybrid network comprising the following the features: system control entity 106 in Fig. 3 using RAS standard gatekeeper protocol; entity H.225.0/RTP 108 using standard real time transfer protocol. See column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 2. Kuthyar et al. discloses the features of using H.245 protocol, see column 5, line 64-67.

Shinohara et al. discloses a network system comprising the following features: DCH call control section 333 in Fig. 2 using Q.931 standard call control protocol. See column 4, lines 39-41.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Kubler et al., by using the standard protocols, as taught by Kuthyar et al., and Shinohara et al., in order to take advantage of well developed and globally recognized standard protocols.

8. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubler et al. (US 5,726,984) in view of Schulzrinne et al. (RFC 1889).

Kubler et al. discloses the claimed limitations set forth. Kubler et al. does not disclose the features of: a standard quality of service protocol for gathering QoS statistics regarding

Application/Control Number: 08/741,265

Art Unit: 2667

packetized information; monitoring QoS statistics to adaptively control a rate which audio information is transferred. Schulzrinne et al. discloses the following features in RFC 1889: a standard quality of service protocol for gathering QoS statistics regarding packetized information; monitoring QoS statistics to adaptively control a rate which audio information is transferred. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of White et al, by using the features, as taught by Schulzrinne et al., in order to reduce the possibility of network congestion.

9. Claims 8, 9, 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kubler et al. (US 5,726,984) in view of Kuthyar et al. (US 5,909,431), and Shinohara et al. (US 5,351,237) as applied to claims 1, 4, 5 above, and further in view of Schulzrinne et al. (RFC 1889).

Kubler et al., Kuthyar et al., Shinohara et al. disclose the claimed limitations set forth. However, they do not disclose the features of: a standard quality of service protocol for gathering QoS statistics regarding packetized information; standard quality of service protocol using standard real time transfer control protocol RTCP; monitoring RTCP information to adaptively control a rate which audio information is transferred. Schulzrinne et al. discloses the following features in RFC 1889: a standard quality of service protocol for gathering QoS statistics regarding packetized information; standard quality of service protocol using standard real time transfer control protocol RTCP; monitoring RTCP information to adaptively control a rate which audio information is transferred. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined system of Kubler et al., Kuthyar et al., and Shinohara et al., by using the features, as taught by Schulzrinne et al., in order to reduce the possibility of network congestion.

Application/Control Number: 08/741,265 Page 10

Art Unit: 2667

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwang B. Yao whose telephone number is 703-308-7583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H Pham can be reached on 703-305-4378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARY EXAMINER

Kwang B/Yao

Feb. 4, 2004